

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,581	06/11/2001	Susumu Nakagawa	450100-03278	2762
	7590 05/21/201 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.		SHELEHEDA, JAMES R	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/878,581	NAKAGAWA, SUSUMU	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JAMES SHELEHEDA	2424	

	JAMES SHELEHEDA	2424					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) \(\sum_{the period for reply expires on (1) the maling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY STEELY STE							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	e of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since				
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	rided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/James Sheleheda/						
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424						
	. Illiary Examiner, Art O	747					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 10, 19, 22, 29, 30, 37 include amendments altering the claim scope and requiring additional search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's arguments regarding Bar-el on pages 19-22, of applicant's response, it is noted that Srinivasan was relied upon for disclosing the features of starting and stopping the stream for ad insertion, distributing the ads via the network.

In response to applicant's argument that Srinivasan does not disclose "package distribution", it is noted that Srinivasan explicitly discloses where the video may be transmitted with ads (stream distribution) or separately without the ads "package/download distribution) (paragraph 204-205). As defined in applicant's specification (page 31). This clearly meets the claim limitations.

In response to applicant's arguments that Srinivasan only discloses using a URL to pull the ads, it is noted that when the play back unit uses the URL, it is sending a requesting to the server to be provided with the content associated with that link. The server receives the request and then responds by sending out the appropriate information. The playback unit is not just "pulling" the ad as applicant suggests, as it must clearly be communicating with the server storing the ads.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding an "advertisement insertion condition", the receiver is notifying the ad server that the condition (i.e. time) to insert an ad has occurred.

In response to applicant's arguments that Srinivasan doesn't disclose transmitting an advertising image to the image content providing appressors are explicitly discloses that the ad server will pull both the video and ads from other servers and then control the streams to start and stop them as needed to insert the ads into the video (paragraph 204).

In response to applicant's arguments that Srinivasan doesn't disclose that the content providing apparatus restarting the video stream, Srinivasan discloses that the "ad server" pulls the content and provides it the subscribers (paragraph 204-205). Thus, it clearly meets the language of a content providing apparatus.